Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Industrial Commission

CV-24-0023-PR

CRAIG v CITY OF PHOENIX/CITY OF PHX

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 6-Feb-2024 Archive on: 23-Aug-2034 (planned)

Case Closed: 23-Aug-2024

Dept/Composition

Side 1. THOMAS CRAIG, Petitioner Employee

(Litigant Group) THOMAS CRAIG

Thomas Craig
Attorneys for: Petitioner Employee
David L Abney, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 9001)

Side 2. THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF ARIZONA

• Industrial Commission of Arizona Attorneys for: Respondent

Afshan Peimani, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 26156)

Side 3. CITY OF PHOENIX, Respondent Employer

(Litigant Group) CITY OF PHOENIX/CITY OF PHOENIX

City of Phoenix, Respondent Insurance Carrier
Attorneys for: Respondent Employer
R Todd Lundmark, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 6919)

David Lundmark, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 35003)

Side 4. CITY OF PHOENIX, Respondent Carrier

CASE STATUS

Aug 23, 2024....Case Closed Aug 20, 2024....Decision Rendered

Aug 2, 2024.....Continued

	SSOR CASE(S) 1 CA-IC 23-0002	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
♥ ICA	20212770223			C Andrew Campbell, Administrative Law Judge Comments: (none)		
♥ INSCA	21G10J874066			Comments: (none)		

2 CASE DECISIONS

20-Aug-2024 ORDER

FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Costs (Petitioner Employee

Craig) = GRANTED.

,	Filed:	20-Aug-2024	Mandate:
	Decision Disposition		
			Granted

20-Aug-2024 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed:	20-Aug-2024	Mandate:
Decision Disposition		
Denied		

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

6-Feb-2024 FILED: Petitioners' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review, Motion for Procedural Order; Certificate of Service (Respondent Employer/Carrier City of Phoenix)

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Industrial Commission

CV-24-0023-PR

CRAIG v CITY OF PHOENIX/CITY OF PHX

9 PROCEEDING ENTRIES				
2.	7-Feb-2024	Respondent Employer/Carrier City of Phoenix, having filed an "Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review, Motion for Procedural Order" on February 6, 2024,		
		IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the petition for review on or before March 19, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by March 19, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)		
3.	18-Mar-2024	FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Respondent Employer/Carrier City of Phoenix)		
4.	19-Mar-2024	FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record		
5.	18-Apr-2024	FILED: Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Petitioner Employee Craig)		
6.	30-Apr-2024	RECEIPT No.: 2024-00092 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 8563544361858086, Applied to: THOMAS CRAIG - Class B Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: THOMAS CRAIG - By nCourt LLC		
7.	2-Aug-2024	ORDERED: Petition for Review = CONTINUED.		
		FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Attorneys' Fees (Petitioner Employee Craig) = CONTINUED.		
8.	20-Aug-2024	ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.		
		FURTHER ORDERED: Request for Costs (Petitioner Employee Craig) = GRANTED.		
9.	23-Aug-2024	FILED: Respondent Thomas Craig's Itemized and Verified Statement of Costs on Appeal; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Employee Craig)		